

## RV information of definable sets in valued fields

IMMANUEL HALUPCZOK

Let  $K$  be a henselian valued field of characteristic  $(0, 0)$ . For simplicity of exposition, we assume  $K = k((t))$  where  $k$  is any field of characteristic 0. Let  $R = k[[t]]$  be the corresponding valuation ring. We write  $\Gamma$  for the value group.

It will be useful to define the valuation and the angular component of tuples  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \bar{a}_i t^i \in K^n$  (where  $\bar{a}_i \in k^n$ ):  $v(\bar{x}) := \min\{i \in \Gamma \mid \bar{a}_i \neq 0\} = \min\{v(x_1), \dots, v(x_n)\}$  and  $\text{ac}(\bar{x}) := \bar{a}_{v(\bar{x})}$ .

For a definable set  $X \subset R^n$ , we want to understand the residue field and value group information (the ‘‘RV information’’) contained in  $X$ . More precisely, we want to describe definable sets ‘‘up to RV-isometry’’, which is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.** *A definable bijection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an RV-isometry if for any  $\bar{x}, \bar{x}' \in X$ , we have  $v(f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x}')) = v(\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$  (i.e. it is a usual isometry) and  $\text{ac}(f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x}')) = \text{ac}(\bar{x} - \bar{x}')$ .*

We will present a theorem which yields a good description of definable sets up to RV-isometry; it implies that large parts of any definable set are, up to RV-isometry, translation invariant in many directions. To make this precise, we need some more definitions.

By a ‘‘ball’’ in  $R^n$ , we shall mean a set of the form  $B = \bar{x}_0 + t^\lambda R^n = \{\bar{x} \in R^n \mid v(\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0) \geq \lambda\}$ .

Call a definable set  $X \subset R^n$  *translatable* on a ball  $B$  if there exists a direction  $\bar{c} \in K^n \setminus \{0\}$  in which it is translation invariant on  $B$ , i.e.  $(X + K\bar{c}) \cap B = X \cap B$ . Call  $X \subset R^n$  *almost translatable* on  $B$  if there exists an RV-isometry  $X \cap B \rightarrow Y \subset B$  such that  $Y$  is translatable on  $B$ .

**theorem 2.** <sup>1</sup> *There exists a finite number of sets  $S_i$  each of which is either a ball or a point such that for any ball  $B$ ,  $X$  is almost translatable on  $B$  if and only if  $B$  does not contain any of the sets  $S_i$ .*

Each ball  $S_i$  yields a finite number of balls  $B$  on which  $X$  is not almost translatable; each point  $S_i$  yields an infinite descending chain of balls. Typically, these points are singularities of  $X$ .<sup>2</sup>

This theorem is useful because it reduces understanding  $X$  up to RV-isometry for most of the set to lower dimension: suppose that  $B$  is a ball where  $X$  is almost translatable, i.e.  $X \cap B$  is RV-isometric to a set  $Y \subset B$  which is translation invariant in direction  $\bar{c}$ . Then  $Y$  is the preimage under  $\pi$  of a set  $Y' \subset B'$ , where  $B' \subset R^{n-1}$  is a ball of the same radius as  $B$  but of lower dimension and  $\pi: B \rightarrow B'$  is a suitable projection sending  $\bar{c}$  to 0. Hence, up to RV-isometry  $X \cap B$  is determined by  $Y'$  and the direction  $\bar{c}$ . Now theorem 2 can be recursively applied to  $Y'$ . In other words, we obtain that on most of the balls where  $X$  is almost translatable,

<sup>1</sup>‘‘theorem’’ with lowercase ‘‘t’’ because still work in progress

<sup>2</sup>In a more general setting, when the value group  $\Gamma$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the theorem can still be formulated essentially in the same way. However, then even the balls  $S_i$  yield infinite chains of balls  $B$  where  $X$  is not almost translatable.

it is even RV-isometric to a set which is translation invariant in two directions, and so on.

This description is a rather strong restriction on possible RV-isometry classes of definable sets. It turns out that indeed, the number of possible RV-isometry classes which are left over is small in a precise sense: the RV-isometry class of a set can be specified using only parameters from the residue field and the value group. Moreover, this works in a definable way. More precisely:

**theorem 3.** *Let  $X_s$  be a definable family of definable sets ( $s \in S$ ). Then there exists a definable map  $\psi: S \rightarrow (k \cup \Gamma)^{\text{eq}}$  such that  $\psi(s) = \psi(s')$  if and only if  $X_s$  and  $X_{s'}$  are RV-isometric.*